UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,661	03/11/2005	Takeshi Ito	MTS-3492US	5213
23122 7590 02/02/2009 RATNERPRESTIA			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 980	CE DA 10492	BRINICH, STEPHEN M		
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/527,661	ITO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	STEPHEN M. BRINICH	2625
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 I This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 11-24 and 35-46 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 24 and 35-46 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/527,661 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments (11/12/08 Response: page 12, lines 2-25) with respect to the rejection of claims 9, 11, 16-23, 27, & 29-34 under 35 USC §112, the rejection of claims 9-10 & 25-29 under 35 USC §102, the rejection of claims 11 & 16-23, & 30-34 under 35 USC §103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 11 & 16-23 under 35 USC §112, the rejection of claims 11 & 16-23 under 35 USC §103, and the objection to claims 12-15 & 24 have been withdrawn. The rejection of claims 9, 27, & 29-34 under 35 USC §102, the rejection of claims 9-10 & 25-29 under 35 USC §102, and the rejection of claims 30-34 under 35 USC §103 have been obviated by their cancellation.

However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of 35 USC §112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 11-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point

out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 11, lines 12-15 and claim 12, lines 15-19, the recitation of an intensity that is "small" on the periphery of the color region and "large" in the central portion of said region is unclear as to which values of intensity fall within the metes and bounds of the claim.

In claim 11, lines 16-17, the phrase "information, other than pixel information, included in said pixel signal" is unclear as to how information that is "included in said pixel signal" can be other than "pixel information".

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 24 & 35-46 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 11-23 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As noted above, Applicant's arguments (11/12/08 Response: page 12, lines 2-25) with respect to the rejection of claims 9, 11, 16-23, 27, & 29-34 under 35 USC §112, the rejection of claims 9-10 & 25-29 under 35 USC §102, the rejection of claims

11 & 16-23, & 30-34 under 35 USC \$103 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Re newly presented claim 35, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited arrangement of three-dimensional lookup table interpolation and color correspondence in conjunction with the recited arrangement for converting a pixel signal.

Re newly presented claim 36 (and dependent claims 37-46), the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited target color selection arrangement, correction intensity determination in accordance with a chromaticity component, and correction degree determination in accordance with photographic information identification in conjunction with the recited arrangement for converting a pixel signal.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application, entry of papers into this application, or other any inquiries of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/527,661 Page 5

Art Unit: 2625

272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 571-272-1000.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 8:00-5:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 571-273-8300.

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

/Stephen M Brinich/

Examiner, Art Unit 2625